

Planning

Proposed residential rezoning at Burns Point Ferry Road, West Ballina Proposed residential rezoning at Burns Point Ferry Road, West Ballina Proposal Title : Rural zoned land at Burns Point Ferry Road, West Ballina, is proposed to be zoned R3 Medium Proposal Summary : Density to facilitate residential development. The minimum lot size for the land is proposed to be reduced from 40ha to 600 square metres. PP_2012_BALLI_007_00 12/19107 **PP Number**: Dop File No : **Proposal Details** 28-Nov-2012 LGA covered : Ballina Date Planning Proposal Received : RPA: **Ballina Shire Council** Northern Region : Section of the Act : State Electorate : BALLINA 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 6 Burns Point Ferry Road West Ballina West Ballina 2478 Suburb : City : Postcode : Lot 1 DP 522558 Land Parcel : **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Carlie Boyd** Contact Number : 0266416610 Contact Email : carlie.boyd@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Lachlan Sims** Contact Number : 0266861284 Contact Email : lachlans@ballina.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : **Paul Garnett** 0266416607 Contact Number : Contact Email : paul.garnett@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data N/A Release Area Name : N/A Growth Centre : Regional / Sub Far North Coast Regional Consistent with Strategy : Yes **Regional Strategy:** Strategy

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	4		
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.40	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential		
No. of Lots :	6	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	6		
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of	Yes				
Conduct has been complied with :					
If No, comment :	•	ing Code of Practice in relation to complied with to the best of the Re	-		
Have there been	No				
meetings or					
communications with registered lobbyists? :			, and the second		
If Yes, comment :	Northern Region has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.				
upporting notes		25	10 C		
Internal Supporting Notes :		wellings has been estimated base or the site. The actual numbers are			
	The number of jobs to be		e yet to be commed.		
	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re				
External Supporting Notes :	The number of jobs to be	created is unknown.			
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown.			
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun	ctions for this planning proposal		
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council. nt jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objective and inte	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun	ctions for this planning proposal		
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment :	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council. nt jectives - s55(2)(a) pjectives provided? Yes The objective and inte for the proposed amer	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun	ctions for this planning proposal		
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council. it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The objective and inte for the proposed amer Ballina LEP 1987.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun	ctions for this planning proposal		
Notes : equacy Assessment statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov- Is an explanation of prov-	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun nded outcomes of the planning pr ndment to either the (currently dra 2)(b)	ctions for this planning proposal roposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		
Notes : equacy Assessment statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment :	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun nded outcomes of the planning pr ndment to either the (currently dra 2)(b)	ctions for this planning proposal roposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		
Notes : equacy Assessment statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Pustification - s55 (2)	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun inded outcomes of the planning pr indment to either the (currently dra 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the ended outcomes.	ctions for this planning proposal roposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		
Notes : equacy Assessment Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Pustification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun inded outcomes of the planning pr indment to either the (currently dra 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the ended outcomes.	ctions for this planning proposal roposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob- Is a statement of the ob- Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Justification - s55 (2	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun inded outcomes of the planning pr indment to either the (currently dra 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the ended outcomes. rector General? Yes 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands	ctions for this planning proposal roposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		
Notes : equacy Assessmen Statement of the ob Is a statement of the ob Comment : Explanation of prov Is an explanation of prov Comment : Dustification - s55 (2 a) Has Council's strateg b) S.117 directions ider	The number of jobs to be Ballina Council has not re be delegated to Council.	created is unknown. equested that the plan making fun inded outcomes of the planning pr indment to either the (currently dra 2)(b) I provides a clear explanation of the ended outcomes. rector General? Yes 1.2 Rural Zones	ctions for this planning proposal opposal are adequately expressed ft) Ballina LEP 2011 or the existing		

	1	4.3 Flood Prone Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	
Is the Director Generation	al's agreement required'	Yes	
c) Consistent with Stand	ard Instrument (LEPs) C	order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have th	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 North Coast REP 1988	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		e proposed site for residential purposes is consistent with Council's al land release strategy and the FNC Regional Strategy.	
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a) b) and d) ba	ng adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	All inconsistencie	s are justified by the proposal's consistency with Councils approved lease strategy and the FNC Regional Strategy.	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Ye	38		
Comment :	The mapping adequately shows the subject land and the proposed zoning. Mapping that complies with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' will be provided for the making of the LEP.		
Community consulta	ition - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Ye	S	
Comment :	consultation. The Community consu Preparing an LEP'.	osal has not indicated a public exhibition period for community Gateway will determine the timeframe required for exhibition. Itation will be in accordance with the Department's 'A Guide to A 14 day consultation period is recommended, as the proposal is Iow impact planning proposal.	
Additional Director G	Seneral's requireme	nts	
Are there any additional	Director General's requi	rements? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of	the proposal		
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria?	/es	
If No, comment :	The planning prop 1. Providing app 2. Providing a su the outcomes; 3. Providing an a	osal satisfies the adequacy criteria by: ropriate objectives and intended outcomes; itable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve dequate justification for the proposal; and nmunity consultation.	
posal Assessment			
Principal LEP:			
	042		
Due Date : December 2			

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The planning proposal is a result of the Ballina Urban Land Release Strategy 2000 (updated in 2003), which has been approved by the Department. The subject site is included in the approved strategy. The site is also included in Council's draft Local Growth Management Strategy (LGMS), which is currently with the Department for approval. Both strategies identify the site as being suitable for residential development. The land is included within the Far North Coast Regional Strategy (FNCRS) growth boundary for potential future urban development. The site is adjacent to land zoned and developed for residential development.

The proposed change to the LEP is the most appropriate means of achieving the desired outcome for the proposal. The community benefit of the proposal stems from the fact that the land would provide for residential development on relatively unconstrained land adjacent to existing residential development. The land is considered by Council to be suitable for residential uses and should therefore be zoned for such.

Additional housing that will occur from the rezoning proposal will contribute to Council's housing targets as set by the FNCRS.

Consistency with strategic planning framework : The proposal is consistent with all relevant local and regional planning strategies, including the Far North Coast Regional Strategy and Council's approved Urban Land Release Strategy and draft Local Growth Management Strategy.

Inconsistencies:

The planning proposal is inconsistent with S117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones and 4.3 Flood Prone Land. The inconsistencies are supported by the FNCRS, Council's approved residential strategy and/or are of minor significance.

1.2 Rural zones

The planning proposal is not consistent with this direction as it is proposed to rezone land from a rural zone to a residential zone. The rezoning is, however, in accordance with Council's approved strategy and draft LGMS. The site is also identified within the FNCRS town and village growth area boundary for future urban development. The inconsistency with the Direction is therefore justified.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

The application indicates that the site is currently subject to flooding. No Floodplain Risk Management Plan has been prepared for the area. Assessment of the impact of flooding on the site has not been undertaken. Council, however, indicates that development of the site based on the use of fill was accounted for within the wider Ballina Flood Study Update undertaken in 2008. The filling and subsequent development of the site was assessed in the study and by Council to be satisfactory in terms of impacts to other lands. It should also be noted that the entire Ballina urban area is flood prone and residential development on similar filled flood prone allotments in the area has been approved. The land was previously developed as a motel. Given the historic use of the site, its location adjacent to existing residential development, its small size and its inclusion within the updated flood modelling as a filled and developed site, it is considered that further flood assessment is not required. The inconsistency with the Direction is considered to be justified as being of minor significance.

The proposal is considered to be consistent with all other S117 Directions.

SEPPs

Several SEPPs were raised as being relevant to the proposal, including SEPP 55 Remediation of Land, SEPP 71 Coastal Protection and SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008. The North Coast REP is a deemed SEPP and is also relevant to the proposal.

SEPP 55

SEPP 55 requires consideration of contaminated land issues where land that may be contaminated is proposed to be rezoned. The site has been investigated for the presence of asbestos following demolition of the former motel and has been certified as being clear of asbestos residue. Further investigations relating to potential contamination of the land are proposed to be undertaken following the gateway determination. This is appropriate. The proposal is therefore considered to be consistent with the SEPP.

SEPP (Rural Lands)

This SEPP is relevant to the proposal as the land is currently zoned rural. Although rural land is proposed to be rezoned for residential development, the proposal is generally consistent with the SEPP as it is consistent with the applicable regional strategy and endorsed local strategy. The land is also currently zoned for future urban investigation and has a history of development and urban use, rather than rural uses. The land is considered to be unsuitable for future rural use due to its location within the expanding urban fringe of Ballina.

North Coast REP

The proposal could be inconsistent with clause 45A of the North Coast REP, which states that intensification of development on flood prone land should be avoided unless justified within a floodplain risk management plan (FRMP) applying to the site. However, it could be argued that the proposed residential development is no more intensive than the motel

development previously on the site. It is considered that issues relating to the flood prone nature of the site have been adequately dealt with in Council's flood study and do not warrant further investigation, as outlined above.

The proposal is capable of being consistent with all other SEPPs applying to the land.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The land proposed for development is largely cleared and has a history of disturbance, being formerly developed as a motel. There is no known critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats which would be adversely affected by this rezoning proposal.

As discussed above, the land is subject to flooding and will need to be filled to enable development. Development of the land has been accounted for in Council's flood modelling for the area.

The land is mapped as having potential to contain acid sulfate soils. Council is satisfied that this issue can be addressed at the development application stage in accordance with the provisions relating to development on acid sulfate soils within the draft Ballina LEP 2011. This is appropriate.

Council has indicated that there may be geotechnical constraints to development of the site, due to the possible presence of soft soils. Further investigation at the development application stage is proposed to determine construction requirements. This is appropriate.

No known heritage items have been mentioned within the planning proposal. The West Ballina area is recognised in Council's strategy as potentially containing objects of Aboriginal heritage significance. However, the site was previously developed and disturbed. It is considered that any arising heritage issues can be dealt with at the development application stage.

Council has confirmed that the land will be able to be serviced with water and sewerage infrastructure.

The rezoning proposal potentially has economic benefits due to the job opportunities that will arise during construction of the development and the flow-on effect within the local community as an increase in revenue to the local businesses and wider area. Development for housing will assist in meeting regional dwelling targets.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 Month		Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Office of Environm	ent and Her	itage	
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Other - provide details below

If Other, provide reasons :

A Potential Land Contamination Study is required prior to rezoning of the site to ensure that the site is suitable for residential development.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public Yes Yes
Cover_letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	
Planning_Proposal.pdf	Proposal	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones
	1.5 Rural Lands
	2.2 Coastal Protection
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information	It is recommended that:
	1. The planning proposal is supported;
	2. The planning proposal is to be exhibited for a period of 14 days;
	3. The planning proposal should be completed within 12 months;
	4. The Director General (or an officer of the Department nominated by the Director
	General) agree that the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 1.2 and 4.3 are justified in
	accordance with the terms of the Direction; while all other S117 directions are consistent
2	with the planning proposal.
	5. The RPA is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage in relation to the
(1)	issue of flooding on the site;
	6. The RPA is to place supporting documentation on exhibition with the planning
	proposal relating to the issue of flooding on the site; and
	7. The RPA is to prepare a potential land contamination study for the site. The study is to
	be placed on exhibition with the planning proposal.
Supporting Reasons	The planning proposal is consistent with Council's approved residential settlement
	strategy and the FNC Regional Strategy.
(<u>*</u>)	
	All inconsistencies with s117 Directions are justified by the proposal's consistency with the
S	above strategies or are of minor significance.
5	
Cimpetures	Ply 4
Signature:	
Printed Name:	AUL GARNETT Date: 30/11/12
Frinted Maine,	
At: 1	AUL GARNETT Date: 30/11/12 Com header, bocal Margarett of 7 30 Nov 2012 12:56 pm n hegren
yerry	
Vortho	n kegren

1.5